
## SB823 2025, Q3 Update

ALAMEDA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

# 707 (b) Adjudications, DJJ Commitments, and Secure Track Sustained Totals (Cumulative)

- <u>56</u> 707(b) referrals sustained during the reporting period across <u>52</u> individual youth
- <u>0</u> youth were committed to DJJ
- <u>0</u> youth returned from DJJ
- <u>0</u> reinstated commitment to SYTF
- <u>4</u> new commitments to SYTF


### Most Serious Offense for Youth with New Secure Track Commitments (n = 4)



### Youth Eligible for SYTF Under WIC 875(a)

- 159 youth with a sustained
   707(b) offense were active to probation during the quarter
  - <u>140</u> (88%) youth were male
  - <u>19</u> (12%) youth were female
  - <u>112</u> (70%) youth were Black
  - <u>31</u> (19%) youth were Hispanic


#### Youth Age 14+ w/ Sustained 707(b) Offenses Based on the most Recent Referral (n = 159)



### Overview of Youth Committed to SYTF\*

- 14 youth were committed to SYTF as of September 30, 2025
  - 100% of youth were court ordered
  - 86% of youth were male
  - 14% of youth were female
  - 43% of youth were from Oakland
  - The average age of youth was <u>18.4</u>
  - The average length of time in SYTF 414 days

#### Race of Youth Committed to SYTF (n = 14)




<sup>\*</sup>Figures as of September 30, 2025

### Overview of Youth Committed to SYTF Cont.\*

- For the 14 youth, the average
  - Number of referrals prior to SYTF commitment was 4.6
  - Number of bookings prior to SYTF commitment was 3.3
  - Number of true findings prior to SYTF commitment was 3.0
  - Time between 1<sup>st</sup> referral and SYTF commitment was 2.9 years

### Most Serious Sustained Offense for Youth Committed to SYTF (n = 14)



### Step-downs and Closures\*

- As of September 30, 2025,
  - <u>3</u> youth were on step-down from SYTF to a less restrictive program
    - These programs included step-down to camp, GPS, community supervision, and interstate compact. In this case, all 3 were camp step-downs.
  - <u>26</u> youth had their Secure Track grant closed
    - This includes youth with jurisdiction transfers and youth whose cases were closed out with the ACPD due to a transfer on interstate compact (IC), in addition to youth whose cases were closed due to their term ending, being discharged early, or an unsuccessful completion.
    - As of September 30, 2025, 3 youth were closed out due to jurisdictional and IC transfers & 1 youth was released back to DJJ due to 779 motion being denied.

# THANK YOU

ALEX GARCIA

PROBATION SPECIALIST

ALGARCIA@ACGOV.ORG